

United States DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,345	03/25/2004	Martin Kruger	SCH-1927 D1	5446
	7590 09/06/200 TE, ZELANO & BRA	EXAMINER		
2200 CLAREN	•	HUYNH, CARLIC K		
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			09/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Appli	cant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/808,345	KRUG	GER ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art U	nit		
•		Carlic K. Huynh	1617			
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	pears on the cover s	heet with the corresp	ondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUT WHICHEVER IS LONGER - Extensions of time may be availat after SIX (6) MONTHS from the m If NO period for reply is specified a Failure to reply within the set or ex	above, the maximum statutory period ktended period for reply will, by statute ater than three months after the mailin	ATE OF THIS COM 136(a). In no event, howeve will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be	MUNICATION. The may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing come ABANDONED (35 U.3)	ng date of this communication. S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on	<u>.</u>				
2a) This action is FINAL	2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.	•	. •		
3) Since this application	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above cla 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/a 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/a 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>16-25</u> is/ar	re rejected.	wn from considerati				
Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) filed Applicant may not req	uest that any objection to the sheet(s) including the correct	cepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in ction is required if the c	abeyance. See 37 CF rawing(s) is objected t	R 1.85(a). o. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (P' Notice of Draftsperson's Pater Information Disclosure Statem Paper No(s)/Mail Date	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <u>N</u> No	erview Summary (PTO-4 per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Ar her:	_		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- (1) a single disclosed species of a compound of formula (I); and
- (2) a single disclosed species of a cancer.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect (1) a single disclosed species of a compound of formula (I) and (2) a single disclosed species of a cancer for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 16-25 are generic.

It is noted that the species of compounds of formula (I) are structurally distinct and the search for each compound of formula (I) would represent an undue burden on the Office.

It is also noted that the species of cancer is distinct in design and the search for each cancer would represent an undue burden on the Office. The cancer may be selected from, for example, any solid tumor cancer or leukemia.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/808,345

Art Unit: 1617

Page 3

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

A telephone call to the attorney is not required where: 1) the restriction requirement is complex, 2) the application is being prosecuted pro se, or 3) the examiner knows from past experience that a telephone election will not be made (MPEP § 812.01). Therefore, since this restriction requirement is considered complex, a call to the attorney for telephone election was not made.

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlic K. Huynh whose telephone number is 571-272-5574. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,345 Page 4

Art Unit: 1617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ckh

SHENGJUN WANG FRIMARY EXAMINER

S. Wary